



ACREDITACIÓDEMÀSTERS

LA NOVA METODOLOGIA D'AVALUACIÓ INTERNA DE MÀSTER A EUROPA. (EMNEM PROJECT)

Andrée Sursock



La nova metodologia d'avaluació interna de màster a Europa. (EMNEM Project)

Andrée Sursock
European University Association

ACREDITACIÓ DE MÀSTERS Universitat Rovira i Virgili, 14 i 15 de novembre de 2006



Presentation Overview

- EUA's interest in Joint Programmes/Degrees
- Issues from Joint Masters Project
- Some recent developments
- An approach to quality of joint programmes: the EMNEM Guidelines (authored by Stefanie Hofmann)
- The future of Joint Degrees

...2.



EUA interest in Joint Degrees...

EUA Survey on Joint Degrees & Master Degrees 2002 (Rauhvargers and Tauch) revealed:

- lack of knowledge of reality
- legal obstacles to award and recognise joint degrees

EUA is interested in:

- learning more about "European dimension" in action
- helping improve inter-university cooperation
- reflecting on development of "Master" degrees in Europe
- helping both existing and future programmes

...3...



EUA Joint Master project (2003/4)

- 11 existing programmes
 - 100 + HEIs in 21 countries
 - Diverse profiles: (relative) geographic spread, disciplinary variety
- Main themes:
 - Quality assurance and recognition
 - Student experience and mobility
 - Curriculum development and sustainability

...4.



Main Findings:

- Joint Programmes beneficial to all involved = students, academics, institutions, Europe, when good reasons exist for programme
- Creating appropriate conditions requires considerable work & commitment: can't be left to enthusiastic individuals
- Change in the external environment would greatly help (legal, financial, political, etc.)
- Institutional anchoring of programmes critical to success
- Funding/cost issues need to be addressed openly

...5..



Implications of EUA Project

- → Need to consider appropriate arrangements to assure and improve quality of joint programmes
- → Follow-up Erasmus Mundus-funded project EMNEM (European Masters New Evaluation Methodology) project (2004 5) to develop Guidelines for institutions...

...6...



Recent developments in joint programme

- Rapid development of joint programmes (Trends IV & DAAD survey): vast majority of institutions either have or are developing joint programmes.
- Driving forces?
 - ✓ Importance of joint programmes in Bologna/EHEA
 - ✓ Perception of financial support for new joint programmes...
 - ✓ Desire to cooperate with known partner institutions and academics
 - √ Failure to make significant progress in European mobility
 of staff and students?

7



Changes in landscape for joint degrees

- Improvement in legal framework: possibility to award joint degrees integrated into many national laws – mainly as a result of Erasmus Mundus eligibility criteria & Bologna interest
- Tendency towards central admissions policy across networks – again a requirement of Erasmus Mundus, & a positive development
- Attention to tuition fee issue as programmes are developed – even if good/fair solutions not easy
- But many problems persist financial, technical, logistical - and as yet most new programmes lack critical mass (average: 24 students per programme in DAAD study)....

...8...



Institutional Guidelines for joint master programmes

OBJECTIVES:

- √ Strengthening institutional anchoring
- ✓ Developing internal quality culture
- √ Enhancing European perspective
- √ Strengthening sustainability
- ✓ Ensuring accountability
- ✓ Containing costs

...9..



Guidelines: Basic assumptions and starting points

- Responsibility for quality improvement lies primarily within higher education institutions
- Jointness implies culture of cooperation
- This means shared aims and objectives, and also shared academic values and principles
- Guided by curiosity and trust in what is different
- Managing quality cultures across national and institutional borders

...10..



Guidelines: Basic assumptions and starting points

The joint master programme should have:

- valid objectives (The joint degree programme should be the only way of achieving the programme's objectives!)
- appropriate programme concept
- serious implementation
- honest and trustworthy quality monitoring
- · improvement strategy over time

...11...



Guidelines: Basic assumptions and starting points

Level	4	Responsibilities
	3 7	
Network		Effective coordination
Subject	1	Appropriate content
Institution		Sustainable institutional anchoring

...12..



Quality-related questions - Consider all aspects

- 1. Aims and objectives
- 2. Concept
- 3. Programme implementation: tools
- 4. Quality assessment
- 5. Improvement and sustainability

13



Starting Point: The specific objectives of the programme

Key Questions:

- How do we ensure that the programme's objectives are explicit, adequate and plausible?
- How do we ensure that they are valid?
- How do we ensure that they are shared both amongst all cooperating universities and amongst all persons involved (quality culture)?

...14..



Purpose of questions

- Not putting forward a concept of rigid standards but offering a tool to assess whether the programme is fit for purpose
- No intended as a checklist or a blueprint
- Bur rather a mirror for each individual joint masters programme
- Reference points

...15..



Are these questions valid for all programmes?

Yes! But certain integral features of joint programmes will determine whether to additionally focus upon:

- Mobility both staff and students
- Finances and fees
- Language policy issues and language support
- Managing life logistics

...16.



Is there a rosy future for joint programmes?

- Currently a honeymoon period for many new partnerships
- But they will come under pressure:
 - √ Will the relationships take the strain?
 - ✓ Some will probably split apart while others will gain strength and develop
- Success factors:
 - ✓ Successfully combine different academic cultures to produce a whole that is greater than the sum of their parts
 - √ Fully integrated in a developmental strategy of their institutions not left on the margins

17



Joint programmes as preparation of EHEA

- Joint programmes facilitate learning between institutions:
 - different ways of approaching issues
 - finding solutions to interaction of different national systems
 - seeing things from the point of view of students: especially with regard to recognition, fair assessment etc
- All contributes to the development of trust between people and institutions in different countries which needs to underpin the EHEA...

..18..



Future plans for EUA

- Main challenges:
 - for institutions to use the EMNEM Guidelines;
 - to assess impact they are having
 - to assess impact that joint programmes are having on construction of EHEA
- Also to integrate good use of the Guidelines with external QA procedures...
- EUA is interested in addressing these challenges with our members and ENQA

19

